TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 16153

First edition 2004-10-15

Piston-operated volumetric instruments — Determination of uncertainty for volume measurements made using the photometric method

Instruments volumétriques actionnés par piston — Détermination de l'incertitude de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Response de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesurages volumétriques au moyen de la méthode photométrique

Ciick de mesure pour les mesures par les mesures

ISO

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO 2004

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Con	tents Page
Forew	ordiv
1	Scope1
2 2.1	Uncertainty analysis for the replaceable cell photometric method as described in ISO 8655-7:—, Annex A
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Uncertainties of each measurand
3	Uncertainty budget
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Annex B
4	Traceability of the method25
5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Traceability of the method
Biblio	Additional validation studies of the photometric method

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft international Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, when a technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example), it may decide by a simple majority vote of its participating members to publish a Technical Report. A Technical Report is entirely informative in nature and does not have to be reviewed until the data it provides are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TR 16153 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 48, Laboratory glassware and related apparatus.

Piston-operated volumetric instruments — Determination of uncertainty for volume measurements made using the photometric method

1 Scope

This Technical Report gives the detailed evaluation of uncertainty for volume measurements according to the *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurements* (GUM). ISO 8655-7 covers non-gravimetric methods of volume measurement. ISO 8655-7:—, Annex A, presents the details of a method that employs removable cells (vials) in a UV/VIS photometer. ISO 8655-7:—, Annex B, presents the details of a method that employs a flow cell fixed in place in a UV/VIS photometer. This Technical Report covers the uncertainty of measurement of both methods.

2 Uncertainty analysis for the replaceable cell photometric method as described in ISO 8655-7:—, Annex A

2.1 Uncertainties of each measurand

For purposes of creating an uncertainty budget, the uncertainty for each device used in the determination of unknown volume has been taken from manufacturer's literature. The uncertainty for the system linearity was measured using a reference UV/VIS photometer. UV/VIS photometric uncertainties are based on ISO 8655-7:—, Table A.1.

Table 1 — Uncertainties of the analytical devices used

Utem	Laboratory grade UV/VIS- photometer	Reference grade UV/VIS- photometer	Type of uncertainty
Flask, Class A volumetric, 1 000 ml	0,4	В	
Pipette, Class A volumetric, 100 ml	0,08	3 ml	В
Pipette, Class Avolumetric, 10 ml	0,02 ml		В
Pipette, Class A volumetric, 5 ml	0,015 ml		В
Pipette, Class A volumetric, 2 ml	0,01	0 ml	В
Photometric measurement at <i>A</i> = 0	0,001 0	0,000 3	Α
Photometric measurement at <i>A</i> = 0,5	0,001 5	0,000 5	Α
Photometric measurement at <i>A</i> = 1,0	0,001 5	0,000 5	Α
Photometric measurement at <i>A</i> = 1,5	0,002 0	0,000 7	Α
Temperature of sample	0,2	°C	В
Reproducibility of UV/VIS photometer wavelength	0,5 nm	0,2 nm	В
Non-linearity of photometric response with 2:1 dynamic range	_	0,14 %	А
Non-linearity of photometric response with 8:1 dynamic range	_	0,63 %	А

2.2 Mathematical model of method

The unknown volume is calculated based on the Beer-Lambert Law. The stock solution, if Ponceau S is used as chromophore, has extinction coefficient ε_1 at 520 nm, and zero absorbance at 730 nm. The concentration of the stock solution is C_S . The diluent has extinction coefficient ε_2 at its peak wavelength 730 nm and concentration C_D .

A standard is created by mixing stock and diluent very accurately using large volumes. An empty vial is placed in the cell holder; it is filled with buffer and the UV/VIS photometer is set to zero at both wavelengths. The buffer is removed without disturbing the vial and it is rinsed and filled with the standard solution. The absorbance of the standard at the two wavelengths, 520 nm and 730 nm respectively is:

$$A_{S_1} = \varepsilon_1 C_S R l_{SV} \tag{1}$$

$$A_{S2} = \varepsilon_2 C_D (1 - R) l_{SV}$$
 (2)

where R is the dilution ratio of stock to diluent used to create the standard, and l_{SV} is the path length of the vial containing the aliquot of standard solution.

Next, a fresh vial containing a measured amount $V_{\rm D}$ of diluent is put into the UV/VIS photometer and a reading is taken at both wavelengths. The reading at 520 nm, $A_{\rm D1}$, serves the purpose of establishing zero, which may vary from that of a vial containing buffer because of vial imperfections. This reading at 520 nm is subtracted from the reading at 730 nm, $A_{\rm D2}$, to make the zero correction:

Absorbance of diluent =
$$A_{D2} - A_{D1} = \varepsilon_2 C_D l_S$$
 (3)

The path length of this vial is $l_{\rm S}$. Now the device under test is used to deliver an aliquot of stock solution into the diluent. The contents are mixed, and the absorbance is measured at 520 nm. The corrected absorbance of the unknown mixture at 520 nm is:

Absorbance of unknown =
$$A_{\text{U}} - A_{\text{D1}} = \varepsilon_1 C_{\text{S}} \left(\frac{V_{\text{U}} + V_{\text{D}}}{V_{\text{U}} + V_{\text{D}}} \right) l_{\text{S}}$$
 (4)

By dividing the last two equations, one obtains

$$\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}}{A_{\mathsf{D}2} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 \, C_{\mathsf{S}}}{\varepsilon_2 \, C_{\mathsf{D}}}\right) \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{U}}}{V_{\mathsf{U}} + V_{\mathsf{D}}}\right) \tag{5}$$

The two equations from the standard can likewise be divided to give:

$$\frac{A_{S1}}{A_{S2}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 C_S}{\varepsilon_2 C_D}\right) \left(\frac{R}{1 - R}\right) \tag{6}$$

Between these two equations the extinction coefficients and concentrations can be eliminated, giving:

$$\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}{A_{\mathsf{D2}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}} = \left(\frac{1 - R}{R}\right) \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{U}}}{V_{\mathsf{U}} + V_{\mathsf{D}}}\right) \frac{A_{\mathsf{S1}}}{A_{\mathsf{S2}}} \tag{7}$$

All of these quantities have been measured except for V_U . This equation can be solved for V_U with the following result:

$$V_{\mathsf{U}} = V_{\mathsf{D}} \left[\frac{\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}{A_{\mathsf{D2}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}}{\left(\frac{1 - R}{R}\right) \frac{A_{\mathsf{S1}}}{A_{\mathsf{S2}}} - \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}{A_{\mathsf{D2}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}\right)} \right]$$
(8)

This equation allows calculation of V_{U} based on measured quantities. Note that the path lengths of the vials do not enter into the result, even though two vials were used having different path lengths. Also, any variation in zero due to imperfections in the vials has been fully corrected. Measured absorbances appear as ratios, meaning that the UV/VIS photometer need not be accurate, only linear. No information is needed about extinction coefficients or concentrations of stock or diluent solutions.

2.3 Sensitivity coefficients

Sensitivity coefficients are obtained by taking partial derivatives of Equation (8) with respect to each of the measurands or other system parameters that can vary in a way to cause uncertainty in the results.

For the purpose of easily obtaining these partial derivatives, Equation (8) can be simplified by using the following approximations:

$$R \ll 1$$
 (9)

the purpose of easily obtaining these partial derivatives, Equation (8) can be simplified by using the wing approximations:
$$R << 1 \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}}{A_{\mathsf{D}2} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}} < 1 \tag{10}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{D}1} \approx 0 \tag{11}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{D1}} \approx 0 \tag{11}$$

Concentrations of the stock solutions, dilution ratios and diluent have been created such as to make these approximations valid. With these approximations, Equation (8) becomes

$$V_{\rm U} \approx V_{\rm D} \frac{A_{\rm S2}R}{A_{\rm S1}} \left(\frac{A_{\rm U} - A_{\rm D1}}{A_{\rm D2} - A_{\rm D1}} \right)$$
 (12)

This simplification creates an error no greater than 4 % in the sensitivity coefficients. They are in any case estimates, and this error is small compared to other possible sources of error. For purposes of illustrating the method of analysis, the values given in Table 2, which pertain to the calibration of a 0,5 µl pipette, are used.

Table 2 — Values for calibration of a 0,5 µl pipette using the replaceable cell method

<i>l</i> = 1,8 cm	path length of vial use	ed in making the photometric reading		
$V_{\rm S}$ = 5 ml	volume of stock used	to make the first dilution for the standard		
V _{d1} = 1 000 ml	volume of diluent use	sed to make the first dilution for the standard		
V _{m1} = 5 ml	volume of the first mi	nixture (dilution) used to make the second dilution		
$V_{\rm d2}$ = 100 ml	volume of diluent use	ed to make the second dilution		
$R = \left(\frac{5}{1005}\right) \left(\frac{5}{105}\right) = 2,369 \times 10^{-4}$	dilution ratio of stand	ard CA		
A _{SK} = 1 111 abs/cm	absorbance per unit	path length of the undiluted stock solution at λ_1		
$A_{\rm D}$ = 0,600 0 abs/cm absorbance per unit		path length of the diluent at λ_2		
$A_{S1} = (111 \ 1)(1.8)(2.369 \times 10^{-4}) = 0.473 \ 8 \text{ abs}$		absorbance of standard at λ_1		
$A_{S2} = (1 - 2,369 \times 10^{-4})(0,600)(1,8) = 1,079 \text{ 7 abs}$		absorbance of standard at λ_2		
$A_{D1} = 0$ absorbance of diluen		t at λ_1		
A_{D2} = (0,600 abs/cm)(1,8 cm) = 1,0	80 abs	absorbance of diluent at 1,2		
V _D = 5 000 μl		volume of diluent used to dilute the unknown sample		
$A_{\text{U}} = (1 \ 111 \ \text{abs/cm})(1,8 \ \text{cm}) \left(\frac{0,5}{5 \ 000,5}\right) = 0,200 \ \text{abs}$		absorbance of unknown at λ_{1}		
V _U = 0,500 μl		ile M		

In this example, the standard is produced by first diluting 5 ml of stock solution with 1 000 ml of diluent, and then diluting 5 ml of that with 100 ml of diluent.

2.3.1 Uncertainty in reading of standard due to instrument imprecision at wavelength λ_1

In all of the following examples, the formulae are followed by a numeric evaluation using the parameters given above for a 0,5 µl pipette.

The partial derivative of Equation (12) with respect to A_{S1} is

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{S1}} = -\frac{V_{D}A_{S2}R}{A_{S1}^{2}} \left(\frac{A_{U} - A_{D1}}{A_{D2} - A_{D1}} \right)$$
= 1,055 \mu |/abs

The sign is not relevant, since all sensitivity coefficients are squared to get the weighted variance.

The standard uncertainty in absorbance A_{S1} arises from two sources: the measurement at zero absorbance, which has an uncertainty of 0,000 3, and the measurement at an absorbance of 0,5 which has an uncertainty of 0,000 5. They combine as the square root of the sum of the squares:

$$U(A_{S1}) = \sqrt{0,0003^2 + 0,0005^2}$$
 abs = 0,000 583 abs (14)

The standard uncertainty in volume measurement due to this uncertainty in A_{S1} is the product of the uncertainty in absorbance and the sensitivity factor:

$$U(V_{0,5})A_{S1} = U(A_{S1})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{S1}} = (0,000 583 \text{ abs})(1,055 \text{ µl/abs}) = 0,000 615 \text{ µl} = 6,15 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µl}$$
 (15)

2.3.2 Uncertainty in reading of standard due to instrument imprecision at wavelength λ_2

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{S2}}} = \frac{V_{\mathsf{D}}R}{A_{\mathsf{S1}}} \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}{A_{\mathsf{D2}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}} \right)$$

$$= 0,463 \,\mu\text{l/abs} \tag{16}$$

The standard uncertainty in absorbance A_{S2} arises from two sources: the measurement at zero absorbance, which has an uncertainty of 0,000 3, and the measurement at 1,08 absorbance which has an uncertainty of 0,000 5. They combine as the square root of the sum of the squares:

$$U(A_{S1}) = \sqrt{0,0003^2 + 0,0005^2}$$
 abs = 0,000 583 abs (17)

The standard uncertainty in volume measurement due to this uncertainty in A_{S2} is the product of the uncertainty in absorbance and the sensitivity factor:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{A_{S2}} = U(A_{S2})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{S2}} = (0,000 583 \text{ abs})(0,463 \,\mu\text{Vabs}) = 2,70 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}$$
(18)

2.3.3 Uncertainty in reading absorbance A_U due to instrument imprecision at λ_1 (520 nm)

The partial derivative of Equation (12) with respect to A_{U} is

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} = V_{\mathsf{D}} \frac{A_{\mathsf{S}2}R}{A_{\mathsf{S}1}(A_{\mathsf{D}2} - A_{\mathsf{D}1})}$$

$$= 2,50 \,\mu\text{l/abs} \tag{19}$$

The standard uncertainty in absorbance $A_{\rm U}$ at 0,2 absorbance units has an uncertainty of 0,000 5 (in this case the specification for the spectrophotometer does not include a specification at 0,2, so the assumption is made that the uncertainty is that specified at the next greatest absorbance). The measurement at zero absorbance is covered in 2.3.4. Thus,

$$U(A_{\mathsf{U}}) = 0{,}000 \text{ 5 abs}$$
 (20)

The standard uncertainty in volume measurement due to this uncertainty in A_{U} is the product of the uncertainty in absorbance and the sensitivity factor:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{A_{U}} = U(A_{U})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{U}} = (0,000 \text{ 5 abs})(2,50 \text{ µl/abs}) = 1,25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ µl}$$
(21)

2.3.4 Uncertainty in reading diluent absorbance A_{D1} due to instrument imprecision at λ_1 (520 nm)

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{D}1}} = \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} A_{\mathsf{S}2} R}{A_{\mathsf{S}1}}\right) \left[\left(\frac{-1}{A_{\mathsf{D}2} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}}\right) + \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}}{\left(A_{\mathsf{D}2} - A_{\mathsf{D}1}\right)^2}\right) \right]$$

$$= 2,04 \,\mu \mathsf{I}/\mathsf{abs}$$
(22)

ISO/TR 16153:2004(E)

The absorbance of the diluent at 520 nm is nearly zero, so the imprecision in the reading of A_{D1} is 0,000 3. This is the only source of uncertainty in this initial determination of absorbance. It is used in two places, to provide a zero for the absorbance of the unknown $A_{\rm U}$ and the absorbance of the diluent at 730 nm, $A_{\rm D1}$.

$$U(A_{D1}) = 0,000 \text{ 3 abs}$$
 (23)

The uncertainty in volume measurement due to this is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{A_{D1}} = U(A_{D1})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{D1}} = (0,000 \text{ 3 abs})(2,04 \text{ µl/abs}) = 6,12 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µl}$$
 (24)

2.3.5 Uncertainty in reading diluent absorbance $A_{\rm D2}$ due to instrument imprecision at λ_2 (730 mm)

Uncertainty in reading diluent absorbance
$$A_{D2}$$
 due to instrument imprecision at λ_2 (730 mm)
$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{D2}}} = \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} A_{\mathsf{S2}} R}{A_{\mathsf{S1}}}\right) \left\{ -\left[\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}}{\left(A_{\mathsf{D2}} - A_{\mathsf{D1}}\right)^2}\right] \right\}$$
= 0,463 µl/abs
absorbance of the diluent measured at 730 nm is about 1, so
$$U(A_{\mathsf{D2}}) = 0,000 \text{ 5 abs}$$
e uncertainty in V_{U} due to this is:
$$U(V_{\mathsf{0.5}})_{\mathsf{V}} = U(A_{\mathsf{D2}}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}} = (0,000 \text{ 5 abs})(0,463 \text{ µl/abs}) = 2,32 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µl}$$
(27)

The absorbance of the diluent measured at 730 nm is about 1, so

$$U(A_{D2}) = 0,000 5 \text{ abs}$$
 (26)

The uncertainty in V_{U} due to this is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{A_{D2}} = U(A_{D2}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{D2}} = (0,000 \text{ 5 abs})(0,463 \,\mu\text{l/abs}) = 2,32 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{l}$$
(27)

2.3.6 Uncertainty in readings due to drift in wavelength λ_1 of photometer between the time that the standard is read and the unknown is read

The magnitude of the uncertainty depends on the slope of the absorbance versus wavelength curve at the wavelength chosen for making the readings. For the chromophore chosen in this case at 520 nm, the slope is no greater than 0,1 % nm⁻¹. The reading of the standard is taken as the correct wavelength, and it is the change from that which creates the uncertainty.

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial \lambda_{1}} = \left(\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{U}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial A_{U}}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\right)
= \left(\frac{V_{D} A_{S2} R}{A_{S1} A_{D2}}\right) (0,001) \mu l/nm$$

$$= 2,50 \times 10^{-3} \mu l/nm$$
(28)

The specification of the photometer is for a drift of no more than 0,2 nm. A rectangular probability is assumed, giving an uncertainty of:

$$U(\lambda_1) = 0.2 \text{ nm}/\sqrt{3} = 0.115 \text{ nm}$$
 (29)

The square root of three is the conversion from a rectangular to standard uncertainty, as specified by the GUM. The resulting uncertainty in volume measurement due to uncertainty in wavelength is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{\lambda_1} = U(\lambda_1) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial \lambda_1} = (0,115 \text{ nm})(0,002 5 \mu \text{l/nm}) = 2,89 \times 10^{-4} \mu \text{l}$$
(30)

2.3.7 Uncertainty in readings due to drift in wavelength λ_2 of photometer between the time that the standard is read and the unknown is read

The magnitude of the uncertainty depends on the slope of the absorbance versus wavelength curve at 730 nm for the chromophore in the diluent, in this case no greater than 0,05 % nm⁻¹. The reading of the standard is taken as the correct wavelength, and it is the change from that which creates the uncertainty.

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} = \left(\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{D2}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial A_{D2}}{\partial \lambda_{2}}\right) \\
= \left(-\frac{V_{D} A_{S2} R A_{U}}{A_{S1} A_{D2}^{2}}\right) (0,000 5) \mu l/nm \\
= 2,31 \times 10^{-4} \mu l/nm$$
(31)

The specification of the spectrophotometer is for a drift of no more than 0,2 nm. A rectangular probability is assumed, giving an uncertainty of:

$$U(\lambda_2) = 0.2 \text{ nm}/\sqrt{3} = 0.115 \text{ nm}$$
 (32)

The resulting uncertainty in volume measurement due to uncertainty in wavelength is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{\lambda_2} = U(\lambda_2) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial \lambda_2} = (0,115 \text{ nm})(0,000 23 \mu \text{l/nm}) = 2,67 \times 10^{-5} \mu \text{l}$$
(33)

2.3.8 Uncertainty in the volume of stock used in creating the standard

In this case there was a double dilution performed in making the standard. We first address the uncertainty in $V_{\rm U}$ due to uncertainty in the volume of stock used in creating the first dilution. An uncertainty in volume of stock results in an uncertainty in the ratio of dilution for the standard, which in turn results in an uncertainty in the determination of unknown volume. In the following analysis, $R_{\rm 1}$ is the ratio of dilution in the first mixture, and $R_{\rm 2}$ is the dilution of that mixture to create the final standard.

 V_{d1} is the volume of diluent used in preparing the first dilution.

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{34}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{V_S}{V_{d1} + V_S} \approx V_{d1}$$
(35)

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{S}} = R_{2} \frac{\partial R_{1}}{\partial V_{S}} = R_{2} \frac{1}{V_{d1}}$$
(36)

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{S}}$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{S2}}{A_{S1}} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{D2}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{2}}{V_{d1}}\right)$$

$$= 1,00 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \text{I/}\mu \text{I}$$
(37)

The uncertainty in stock volume V_S when delivered by a 5 ml Class A pipette made from glass is found by dividing its tolerance of 0,015 ml by the factor $\sqrt{3}$ to convert from a rectangular probability distribution to a normal one:

$$U(V_{S}) = 0.015 \text{ mI}/\sqrt{3} = 8.66 \,\mu\text{I}$$
 (38)

ISO/TR 16153:2004(E)

The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume $V_{\rm U}$ due to uncertainty in stock volume is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{S}} = U(V_{S}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = (8,66 \,\mu\text{I})(0,000\,100\,\mu\text{I/}\mu\text{I}) = 8,66 \times 10^{-4}\,\mu\text{I}$$
(39)

2.3.9 Uncertainty in the volume of mixture 1 (V_{m1} , result of dilution 1) used in creating the second

The analysis follows that given in 2.3.8.

The volume of diluent used in preparing the second dilution is V_{d2} .

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{40}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}} + V_{\text{m1}}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}}} \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\text{m1}}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{\text{m1}}} = R_1 \frac{1}{V_{\text{d2}}}$$
(42)

The volume of diluent used in preparing the second dilution is
$$V_{d2}$$
.

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{40}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{d2} + V_{m1}} \approx \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{d2}} \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{1}{V_{d2}} \tag{42}$$

$$= \left(V_D \frac{A_{S2}}{A_{S1}} \frac{A_U}{A_{D2}}\right) \left(\frac{R_1}{V_{d2}}\right)$$

$$= 1,05 \times 10^{-4} \mu l/\mu l$$
A portion of the first mixture, V_{m1} , is measured and dispensed using a 5 ml Class A pipette. The uncertainty in this volume is

this volume is

$$U(V_{m1}) = 0.015 \,\text{ml}/\sqrt{3} = 8.66 \,\mu\text{l}$$
 (44)

The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume V_{U} due to uncertainty in the volume of mixture 1 is:

$$U(V_{0,5})V_{m1} = U(V_{m1})\frac{\partial V_{\mu}}{\partial V_{m1}} = (8,66 \ \mu\text{I})(0,000 \ 1 \ \mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 9,09 \times 10^{-4} \ \mu\text{I}$$
(45)

2.3.10 Uncertainty in volume of diluent $V_{\rm d1}$ used in creating the first dilution

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}1}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{W}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}1}} \tag{46}$$

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d1}} = R_2 \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial V_{d1}} \tag{48}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{V_S}{V_S + V_{d1}} \approx \frac{V_S}{V_{d1}} \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d1}} = -R_2 \frac{V_S}{\left(V_{d1}\right)^2} \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d1}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d1}}}$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{S2}}{A_{S1}} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{D2}}\right) \left[\frac{-R_{2} V_{S}}{\left(V_{d1}\right)^{2}}\right]$$

$$= -5.02 \times 10^{-7} \text{ µl/µl}$$
(51)

The first dilution is prepared using 1 000 ml of diluent measured using a Class A volumetric flask. The uncertainty in this diluent volume is

$$U(V_{d1}) = 400 \,\mu\text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 231 \,\mu\text{I} \tag{52}$$

The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume V_{U} due to uncertainty in the volume of diluent 1 is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{d1}} = U(V_{d1}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d1}} = (231 \ \mu\text{I})(5,02 \times 10^{-7} \ \mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 1,16 \times 10^{-4} \ \mu\text{I}$$
(53)

2.3.11 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent $V_{\rm d2}$ used in making the second dilution

This analysis follows that of 2.3.10:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d2}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d2}}} \tag{54}$$

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{d2}} \tag{56}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{m1}} + V_{\text{d2}}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}}} \tag{57}$$

3.11 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent
$$V_{d2}$$
 used in making the second dilution his analysis follows that of 2.3.10:

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d2}} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} \qquad (54)$$

$$R = R_{1}R_{2} \qquad (55)$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} = R_{1} \frac{\partial R_{2}}{\partial V_{d2}} \qquad (56)$$

$$R_{2} = \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{m1} + V_{d2}} \approx \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{m2}} \qquad (57)$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} = -R_{1} \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{m2}} \qquad (58)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}2}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}2}}$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{S2}}{A_{S1}} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{D2}}\right) \left(\frac{-R_{1}V_{m1}}{\left(V_{d2}\right)^{2}}\right)$$

$$= -5.25 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ul/ul}$$
(59)

The second mixture is prepared using 100 ml of diluent measured using a glass Class A volumetric pipette. The uncertainty in volume is

$$U(V_{d2}) = 80 \,\mu\text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 46 \,\mu\text{I}$$
 (60)

The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume $V_{\rm IJ}$ due to uncertainty in the volume of diluent 2 is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{d2}} = U(V_{d2})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d2}} = (46 \text{ }\mu\text{I})(5,25\times10^{-6} \text{ }\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 2,42\times10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{I}$$
 (61)

2.3.12 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent V_{D} used in the unknowns

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{D}} = \frac{A_{S2}R}{A_{S1}} \left(\frac{A_{U} - A_{D1}}{A_{D2} - A_{D1}} \right)
= 1,00 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \text{l/}\mu \text{l}$$
(62)

The dilution volume V_D is measured with a 5 ml glass Class A volumetric pipette. The uncertainty in volume is

$$U(V_{\rm D}) = 15 \,\mu\text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 8,66 \,\mu\text{I}$$
 (63)

The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume $V_{\rm LI}$ due to uncertainty in the volume of diluent $V_{\rm D}$ is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{D}} = U(V_{D})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{D}} = (8,66 \text{ }\mu\text{I})(1,00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 8,66 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{I}$$
(64)

2.3.13 Uncertainty in temperature of standard versus unknowns

If the unknown samples are at a different temperature than the standard, then the temperature dependence of the absorptivity of the chromophore will cause an error in the results (the temperature coefficient of absorptivity, K_T , for this chromophore in this diluent is 0,05 % °C⁻¹). The method specifies that the temperature of the unknowns and control shall be the same within \pm 0,2 °C. The sensitivity of results to this temperature difference is given by the partial derivative of $V_{\rm U}$ with respect to the temperature of the unknown, assuming that the temperature of the standard is by definition correct:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} \tag{65}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{U}}(T) = A_{\mathsf{U}} \left[1 - K_T (T - T_{\mathsf{S}}) \right] \tag{66}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{U}}(T) = A_{\mathsf{U}} \left[1 - K_T (T - T_{\mathsf{S}}) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} = -\left(V_{\mathsf{D}} \frac{A_{\mathsf{S}2}}{A_{\mathsf{S}1}} \frac{R}{A_{\mathsf{D}2}} \right) (A_{\mathsf{U}} K_T)$$

$$= 2,50 \times 10^{-4} \, \mathsf{U}^{\mathsf{C}} \mathsf{C}$$
(65)

The uncertainty intemperature arises from two measurements, one of the standard and one of the unknown. Each is uncertain by 0,2 °C. The uncertainty in the difference will then be the √2 times 0,2 °C or 0,28 °C.

Divide by $\sqrt{3}$ to convert from rectangular to normal distribution. The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_T = U(T)\frac{\partial V_U}{\partial T} = (0.28 / \sqrt{3} \, ^{\circ}\text{C})(2.50 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \, \text{l}/^{\circ}\text{C}) = 4.04 \times 10^{-5} \, \mu \text{l}$$
 (68)

2.3.14 Uncertainty due to incomplete mixing of standard

If the standard is incompletely mixed then its measured absorbance at λ_1 will be in error, leading to an error in the calculation of V_U . Because the dilution ratio R is so small, the measured absorbance at λ_2 will not be much affected by a lack of thorough mixing. The parameter M_S is defined as the fractional error in the reading of the standard's absorbance:

$$M_{S} = \frac{A_{S1}(O) - A_{S1}(I)}{A_{S1}(I)}$$

$$A_{S1}(O) = A_{S1}(I)(M_{S} + 1)$$
(69)

where $A_{S1}(I)$ is the absorbance of an ideally well-mixed standard at λ_1 , and $A_{S1}(O)$ is the observed absorbance.

Using this definition,

The
$$A_{S1}(I)$$
 is the absorbance of an ideally well-mixed standard at λ_1 , and $A_{S1}(O)$ is the observed probance.

If $A_{S1}(I)$ is the absorbance of an ideally well-mixed standard at λ_1 , and $A_{S1}(O)$ is the observed probance.

If $A_{S1}(I)$ is the absorbance of an ideally well-mixed standard at λ_1 , and $A_{S1}(O)$ is the observed probance.

If $A_{S1}(I)$ is the absorbance of the observed at $A_{S1}(I)$ is the absorbance of the dard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter $A_{S1}(I)$ in the absorbance of the dard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter $A_{S1}(I)$ in the absorbance of the dard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter $A_{S1}(I)$ in the absorbance of the dard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter $A_{S1}(I)$ in the absorbance of the dard.

The mixing process, 10 inversions, assures an uncertainty of less than 0,1 % in the absorbance of the standard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter M_S of:

$$U(M_{S})=0,001 \text{ abs/abs}$$
 (71)

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{M_S} = U(M_S) \frac{\partial V_U}{\partial M_S} = (0,001 \text{ abs/abs})(0,50 \text{ µI}) = 5,00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µI}$$
(72)

2.3.15 Uncertainty due to incomplete mixing of unknowns

If the unknowns are incompletely mixed then their measured absorbance at λ_1 will be in error, leading to an error in the calculation of V_{U} . Following the explanation given above,

$$M_{U} = \frac{A_{U}(O) - A_{U}(I)}{A_{U}(I)}$$

$$A_{U}(O) = A_{U}(I)(M_{U} + 1)$$
(73)

ISO/TR 16153:2004(E)

Using this definition,

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial M_{\mathsf{U}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}(O)} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}(O)}{\partial M_{\mathsf{U}}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} R A_{\mathsf{S2}}}{A_{\mathsf{S1}} A_{\mathsf{D2}}} \mu I / \mathsf{abs}\right) \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}(O)}{\partial M_{\mathsf{U}}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} R A_{\mathsf{S2}}}{A_{\mathsf{S1}} A_{\mathsf{D2}}}\right) A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)$$

$$= (2,50 \,\mu I / \mathsf{abs}) \,(0,20 \,\mathsf{abs})$$

$$= 0,50 \,\mu I$$
(74)

$$U(M_{\mathsf{U}}) = 0.001 \, \mathsf{abs/abs} \tag{75}$$

Again, the mixing procedure of 10 inversions gives an uncertainty in absorbance of less than 0,1 %.
$$U(M_{\rm U})=0,001\,{\rm abs/abs} \tag{75}$$
 The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is
$$U(V_{0,5})_{M_{\rm U}}=U(M_{\rm U})\frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial M_{\rm U}}=\big(0,001\,{\rm abs/abs}\big)\big(0,50\,\mu\text{I}\big)=5,00\times10^{-4}\,\mu\text{I}$$

2.3.16 Uncertainty due to pH dependence of the chromophore used in the stock solution

If the unknown samples have a different pH than the standard, then a pH dependence of the absorptivity of the chromophore will cause an error in the results. The sensitivity of results to this pH difference is given by the partial derivative of V_{U} with respect to the pH of the unknown, assuming that the pH of the standard is by definition correct.

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial (\mathsf{pH})} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial (\mathsf{pH})}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} R A_{\mathsf{S2}}}{A_{\mathsf{S1}} A_{\mathsf{D2}}}\right) \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial (\mathsf{pH})}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} R A_{\mathsf{S2}}}{A_{\mathsf{S1}} A_{\mathsf{D2}}}\right) \left[0,01 \, \mathsf{abs}/(\mathsf{abs} \cdot \mathsf{pH})\right] (0,2 \, \mathsf{abs})$$

$$= (2,50 \, \mathsf{µl}/\mathsf{abs}) \left[0,01 \, \mathsf{abs}/(\mathsf{abs} \cdot \mathsf{pH})\right] (0,2 \, \mathsf{abs})$$

$$= 5,00 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathsf{µl}/\mathsf{pH}$$

The pH dependence of the extinction coefficient of the chromophore (in this buffer at pH 6) is 1 % per pH unit, and the buffer is stable within 0,1 pH units.

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{pH} = U(pH)\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial (pH)} = (5,00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ }\mu\text{l/pH})(0,1 \text{ }p\text{H}) = 5,0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{l}$$
 (78)

2.3.17 Uncertainty due to evaporation loss of diluent while in storage

If the diluent is pre-dispensed into glass vials and capped, there will be some diffusion of water vapour through the cap liner during long-term storage. The resulting diluent concentration will be higher than expected and the volume of diluent lower than expected. The effect of higher diluent concentration is to increase the value of A_{D2} .

The effect of lower diluent volume will be to decrease the amount of dilution experienced by the unknown sample added to the diluent, so the resulting value of $A_{\rm U}$ will be higher than expected. The two effects cancel each other out whenever the condition $V_D >> V_U$ is met, as it is in this example.

The parameter V_{ev} is defined as the amount of water lost to evaporation of diluent during storage.

Using this definition,

g this definition,
$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{ev}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{ev}}} + \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{D}2}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{D}2}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{ev}}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} A_{\mathsf{S}2} R}{A_{\mathsf{S}1} A_{\mathsf{D}2}} \mu | / \mathsf{abs}\right) \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}}}{V_{\mathsf{D}}} \mathsf{abs} / \mu | \right) + \left(-\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} A_{\mathsf{S}2} R A_{\mathsf{U}}}{A_{\mathsf{S}1} A_{\mathsf{D}2}^2} \mu | / \mathsf{abs}\right) \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{D}2}}{V_{\mathsf{D}}} \mathsf{abs} / \mu | \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{S}2} R A_{\mathsf{U}}}{A_{\mathsf{S}1} A_{\mathsf{D}2}}\right) (1-1)$$

$$= 0$$
(79)

2.3.18 Uncertainty due to evaporation of stock solution while in storage

An advantage of the photometric method described in ISO 8655-7:—, Annex A, is that it is amenable to preparing solutions ahead of time for later use, considerably streamlining the calibration procedure. If this is done, care shall be taken to minimize the concentration of the stock solutions due to diffusion of water vapour through the cap liners. The uncertainty introduced by the loss of water vapour through the cap of a 50 ml bottle is given by

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{U}} \frac{\partial A_{U}}{\partial V_{S}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{D} A_{S2} R}{A_{S1} A_{D2}} \mu V_{abs}\right) \left(\frac{A_{U}}{V_{S}} abs/\mu I\right)$$

$$= (2.50 \mu I/abs) \left(\frac{0.2 abs}{5 \times 10^{4} \mu I}\right)$$
(80)

where $V_{\rm S}$ is the volume of stock solution in the bottle.

For conical polyethylene cap liners on a 50 ml bottle, the loss due to diffusion if water vapour is less than 50 µl per year at room temperature. Smaller-sized storage containers are not advisable because the uncertainty introduced by concentration changes will be proportionally greater.

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume V_{U} is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{S}} = U(V_{S}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = \left(\frac{50 \,\mu\text{I}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) (1,00 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 2,89 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}$$
(81)

2.3.19 Uncertainty due to system non-linearity

The response of the complete system shall be characterized to determine the linearity of response at wavelength λ_1 . There is no need to characterize linearity of response at λ_2 because the standard and the unknown have nearly the same absorbance at λ_2 . The parameter L is defined as the fractional error in the reading of the unknown's absorbance A_{U} due to a lack of linearity:

$$L = \frac{A_{\mathsf{U}}(O) - A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)}{A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{U}}(O) = A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)(L+1)$$
(82)

Using this definition,

$$A_{U}(I)$$

$$A_{U}(O) = A_{U}(I)(L+1)$$
g this definition,
$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial L} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{U}(O)} \frac{\partial A_{U}(O)}{\partial L}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{D}RA_{S2}}{A_{S1}A_{D2}} \mu I/abs\right) \frac{\partial A_{U}(O)}{\partial L}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{D}RA_{S2}}{A_{S1}A_{D2}}\right) A_{U}(I)$$

$$= (2,50 \mu I/abs)(0,20 abs)$$

$$= 0,50 \mu I/(abs/abs)$$
e case of a dynamic range of 2, the measured non-linearity was 0,14 %.

In the case of a dynamic range of 2, the measured non-linearity was 0,14 %.

$$U(L) = 0,0014 \text{ abs/abs}$$
 (84)

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is \(\)

$$U(V_{0,5})_L = U(L)\frac{\partial V_U}{\partial L} = (0,0014 \text{ abs/abs})[0,5 \text{ }\mu\text{l/(abs/abs)}] = 7,00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{l}$$
 (85)

2.3.20 Uncertainties too small for consideration in the uncertainty budget

There are several sources of uncertainty too small to warrant inclusion in the uncertainty budget. Among them are the following.

2.3.20.1 Uncertainty due to the temperature coefficient of the Class A glassware

Class A glassware has a temperature coefficient of approximately 0,002 5 %·°C⁻¹. If the unknown vials are filled with diluent using Class A glassware while at a temperature of 24 °C instead of the 20 °C that the glassware is adjusted at, an error of 0,01 % will occur in the results. This is much smaller than other sources of uncertainty.

2.3.20.2 Uncertainty due to degradation of the solutions in storage

The chromophores chosen are stable when stored in the dark in the buffer specified. If the reagents (stock, standards, diluent) are to be stored at room temperature, a preservative shall be added to inhibit microbiological growth. All reagents can be frozen to prevent microbiological growth.

2.4 **Uncertainty budget**

Table 3 shows the individual combined relative standard uncertainties as well as the combined relative standard uncertainty for the calibration of a 0,5 µl pipette using the replaceable cell photometric method in a reference grade UV/VIS photometer.

Table 3 — Uncertainty in the calibration of a 0,5 μ l pipette using the replaceable cell method

Clause	Contribution to uncertainty	Probability distribution	Type of uncertainty	Range of values	Standard uncertainty	Unit	Sensitivity coefficient	Unit	Standard uncertainty
			Instrument-re	±	rtaintias				μl
2.3.1	Improvioion in roading		instrument-re	erated unce	rtainties				
2.3.1	Imprecision in reading absorbance of standard at λ_1 (A_{S1})	normal	А		5,83 × 10 ⁻⁴	abs	1,055	μl/abs	$6,15 \times 10^{-4}$
2.3.2	Imprecision in reading absorbance of standard at λ_2 ($A_{\rm S2}$)	normal	А		5,83 × 10 ⁻⁴	abs	$4,63 \times 10^{-1}$	μl/abs	$2,70 \times 10^{-4}$
2.3.3	Imprecision in reading absorbance of unknown at λ_1 ($A_{ m U}$)	normal	А		5,00 × 10 ⁻⁴	abs	2,50	n/abs	1,25 × 10 ⁻³
2.3.4	Imprecision in reading absorbance of diluent at λ_1 ($A_{\rm D1}$)	normal	А		3,00 × 10 ⁻⁴	abs	204	μl/abs	6,12 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.5	Imprecision in reading absorbance of diluent at λ_2 ($A_{\rm D2}$)	normal	А		5,00 × 10 ⁻⁴	abs	4,63 × 10 ⁻¹	μl/abs	2,32 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.6	Drift in λ_1 between reading standard and unknown	rectangle	В	0,2	1,155 × 10 1	nm	2,50 × 10 ⁻³	μl/nm	2,89 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.7	Drift in λ_2 between reading standard and diluent	rectangle	В	0,2	1,155 × 10 ⁻¹	nm	2,31 × 10 ⁻⁴	μl/nm	2,67 × 10 ⁻⁵
			Reagent-rel	ated uncert	ainties				
2.3.8	Volume of stock used in creating dilution1 $(V_{\rm S})$	rectangle	В	115	8,66	μl	1,00 × 10 ⁻⁴	μΙ/μΙ	8,66 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.9	Volume of mixture 1 used in creating dilution 2 $(V_{\rm m1})$	rectangle	BUILD	15	8,66	μl	1,05 × 10 ⁻⁴	μΙ/μΙ	9,09 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.10	Volume of diluent used in creating dilution 1 ($V_{\rm d1}$)	rectangle	В	400	2,31 × 10 ²	μΙ	5,02 × 10 ⁻⁷	μl/μl	1,16 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.11	Volume of diluent used in creating dilution 2 ($V_{\rm d2}$)	rectangle	В	80	4,619 × 10 ¹	μl	$5,25 \times 10^{-6}$	μl/μl	2,42 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.12	Volume of diluent used in unknowns ($V_{\rm D}$)	rectangle	В	15	8,66	μl	1,00 × 10 ⁻⁴	μl/μl	8,66 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.13	Temperature of unknowns versus standards	rectangle	В	2,8 × 10 ⁻⁴	$1,617 \times 10^{-1}$	°C	$2,50 \times 10^{-4}$	μl/°C	$4,04 \times 10^{-5}$
2.3.14	Incomplete mixing of standard	normal	Α		1,00 × 10 ⁻³	abs/ abs	5,00 × 10 ⁻¹	(µl/abs)/ abs	5,00 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.15	Incomplete mixing of unknowns	normal	А		1,00 × 10 ⁻³	abs/ abs	5,00 × 10 ⁻¹	(µl/abs)/ abs	5,00 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.16	pH dependence of the chromophore	normal	Α		1,00 × 10 ⁻¹	рН	5,00 × 10 ⁻³	μl/pH	5,00 × 10 ⁻⁴
2.3.17	Evaporation of diluent while in storage	rectangle	В	5	2,89	μl	0	μl/μl	0
2.3.18	Evaporation of stock while in storage	rectangle	В	50	2,89 × 10 ¹	μΙ	1,00 × 10 ⁻⁵	μl/μl	2,89 × 10 ⁻⁴
			System-rela	ated uncerta	ainties				
2.3.19	System non-linearity	normal	А		1,40 × 10 ⁻³	abs/ abs	5,00 × 10 ⁻¹	(µl/abs)/ abs	7,00 × 10 ⁻⁴
			Combined st	andard unc	ertainty				
	Absolute							μl	$2,50 \times 10^{-3}$
	Relative							%	0,500

3 Uncertainty analysis for the flow cell photometric method as given in ISO 8655-7:—, Annex B

3.1 Uncertainties of each measurand

See Table 1.

3.2 Mathematical model of method

The unknown volumes are calculated using the Lambert-Beer law, which relates the absorbance of a solution to the concentration of the chromophore \mathcal{E} , the extinction coefficient of the chromophore \mathcal{E} and the path length of the measuring cell \mathcal{E} . For stock solution of concentration \mathcal{E}_S , the absorbance \mathcal{E}_S is given by:

$$A_{SK} = \varepsilon_1 C_S l \tag{86}$$

In this instance, ε_1 is the extinction coefficient as measured at wavelength 1, i.e. 520 nm. Once the stock is diluted in making the standard, the absorbance of the standard is

$$A_{S} = \varepsilon_{1} C_{S} R l \tag{87}$$

where R is the dilution ratio of stock by diluent.

When the unknown volume V_{U} of stock is added to a known volume V_{D} of diluent, the absorbance of the solution is:

tion is:
$$A_{\rm U} = \varepsilon_1 C_{\rm S} \left(\frac{V_{\rm U}}{V_{\rm U} + V_{\rm D}} \right) l \tag{88}$$

By combining this equation with the one for the absorbance of the standard, the terms, ε_1 , C_S and l can be eliminated, leaving

$$A_{\mathsf{U}} = \frac{A_{\mathsf{S}}}{R} \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{U}}}{V_{\mathsf{U}} + V_{\mathsf{D}}} \right) \tag{89}$$

This equation can be solved for V_U , giving:

$$V_{\mathsf{U}} = V_{\mathsf{D}} \left(\frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} R}{A_{\mathsf{S}} - A_{\mathsf{U}} R} \right) \tag{90}$$

3.3 Sensitivity coefficients

Sensitivity coefficients are obtained by taking partial derivatives of Equation (90) with respect to each of the measurands or other system parameters that can vary in a way to cause uncertainty in the results.

For the purpose of easily obtaining these partial derivatives, Equation (90) is simplified to

$$V_{\mathsf{U}} \approx V_{\mathsf{D}} \frac{A_{\mathsf{U}} R}{A_{\mathsf{S}}} \tag{91}$$

For the example illustrated in Table 4, an error of 0,01 % is introduced in the calculation of $V_{\rm U}$ by using this simplification. This is much smaller than other sources of error in the uncertainty determination.

This simplification creates an error no greater than 4 % in the sensitivity coefficients. They are in any case estimates, and this error is small compared to other possible sources of error. For purposes of illustrating the method of analysis, the following values, which pertain to the calibration of a $0.5 \, \mu$ l pipette, are used.

Table 4 — Values	for calibration of a 0,5	δ μl pipette using the f	flow cell method

<i>l</i> = 1,000 cm	path length of flow cell used in making the photometric reading		
$V_{\rm S}$ = 5 ml	volume of stock	solution used to make the first dilution for the standard	
V _{d1} = 1 000 ml	volume of diluent used to make the first dilution for the standard		
$V_{\rm m1}$ = 5 ml	volume of the first mixture (dilution) used to make the second dilution		
V _{d2} = 100 ml	volume of diluen	t used to make the second dilution	
$R = \left(\frac{5}{1005}\right)\left(\frac{5}{105}\right) = 2,369 \times 10^{-4}$	dilution ratio of s	standard	
A_{SK} = 2 000 abs/cm	absorbance per unit path length of the undiluted stock solution at χ_1		
$A_{\rm S}$ = (2 000)(1,000)(2,369 × 10 ⁻⁴) = 0,473 8 abs		absorbance of standard at λ_1	
V _D = 5 000 μl		volume of diluent used to dilute the unknown sample	
$A_{\rm U} = \left(2\ 000\ {\rm abs/cm}\right)(1,000\ {\rm cm})\left(\frac{0,5}{5\ 000,5}\right) = 0,200\ {\rm abs}\ {\rm absorbance\ of\ unknown\ at}\ \lambda_1$ when $V_{\rm U} = 0,500\ {\rm \mu l}$			

3.3.1 Uncertainty in reading of standards due to instrument imprecision

In all of the following examples, the formulae are followed by a numeric evaluation using the parameters given above for a 0,5 µl pipette.

The partial derivative of Equation (93) with respect to % is

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{S}}} = -\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}} A_{\mathsf{U}} R}{A_{\mathsf{S}}^2}$$

$$= -1,06 \,\mu\text{l/abs} \tag{92}$$

The sign is not relevant, since all sensitivity coefficients are squared to get the weighted variance.

The standard uncertainty given in the budget arises from two sources: when the photometer is zeroed, and when the reading is made at 0,50 abs. These two sources of uncertainty are combined using the square root of the sum of the squares.

$$U(A_S) = \sqrt{0.0003^2 + 0.0005^2}$$
 abs = 0.000583 abs (93)

The standard uncertainty in volume measurement due to this uncertainty in A_S is

$$(V_{0,5})_{A_S} = (1,05 \,\mu\text{l/abs})(5,83 \times 10^{-4} \,\text{abs}) = 6,15 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{l}$$
 (94)

3.3.2 Uncertainty in reading unknown due to instrument imprecision

The partial derivative of A_U [Equation (90)] with respect to A_U is

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} = V_{\mathsf{D}} \frac{R}{A_{\mathsf{S}}}$$

$$= 2,50 \,\mu\mathsf{I/abs}$$
(95)

As with the uncertainty in A_{U} , the uncertainty in A_{U} is composed of two parts, one of them due to photometer noise at zero, and one due to photometer noise at A_{U} .

$$U(A_{U}) = \sqrt{0,0003^2 + 0,0005^2}$$
 abs = 0,000 583 abs (96)

The standard uncertainty in volume measurement due to this uncertainty in A_{U} is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{A_{11}} = (2.5 \,\mu\text{l/abs})(5.83 \times 10^{-4} \,\text{abs}) = 1.46 \times 10^{-3} \,\mu\text{l}$$
 (97)

3.3.3 Uncertainty in readings due to drift in wavelength of photometer between the time that the standard is read and the unknown is read

The magnitude of the uncertainty depends on the slope of the absorbance versus wavelength curve at the wavelength chosen for making the readings. For the chromophore chosen in this case at 520 nm, the slope is no greater than 0,1 % nm⁻¹. The reading of the standard is taken as the correct wavelength, and it is the change from that which creates the uncertainty.

greater than 0,1 % nm⁻¹. The reading of the standard is taken as the correct wavelength, and it is the nige from that which creates the uncertainty.
$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial \lambda} = \left(\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial \lambda}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{\mathsf{D}}R}{A_{\mathsf{S}}}\right) (0,001) \, \mu \text{l/nm}$$

$$= 2,50 \times 10^{-3} \, \mu \text{l/nm}$$
(98)

The specification for the spectrophotometer is for a drift of normore than 0,2 nm. A rectangular probability is assumed, giving an uncertainty of

$$U(\lambda_1) = 0.2 \,\text{nm}/\sqrt{3} = 0.115 \,\text{nm}$$
 (99)

The uncertainty in volume measurement due to wavelength drift is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{\lambda_1} = U(\lambda_1) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial \lambda_1} = (0,115 \text{ nm})(2,50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ µl/nm}) = 2,88 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µl}$$
 (100)

3.3.4 Uncertainty in the volume of stock used in creating the dilution 1

In this case there was a double dilution performed in making the standard. We first address the uncertainty in $V_{\rm U}$ due to uncertainty in the volume of stock used in creating the first dilution. An uncertainty in volume of stock results in an uncertainty in the ratio of dilution for the standard, which in turn results in an uncertainty in the determination of unknown volume. In the analysis below, $R_{\rm 1}$ is the ratio of dilution in the first mixture, and $R_{\rm 2}$ is the dilution of that mixture to create the final standard.

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{101}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{V_S}{V_{d1} + V_S} \approx \frac{V_S}{V_{d1}} \tag{102}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{S}} = R_{2} \frac{\partial R_{1}}{\partial V_{S}} = R_{2} \frac{1}{V_{d1}}$$
(103)

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{S}}$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{S}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{2}}{V_{d1}}\right)$$

$$= 1 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \text{I/}\mu \text{I}$$
(104)

The volume of stock used in making the first dilution was 5 ml, and the uncertainty in it is:

$$U(V_{\rm S}) = 15 \,\mu \text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 8,66 \,\mu \text{I}$$
 (105)

and the standard uncertainty in the unknown volume due to uncertainty in the volume of stockis

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{S}} = U(V_{S}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{S}} = (8,66 \,\mu\text{I}) (1,00 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I/}\mu\text{I}) = 8,66 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}$$
(106)

3.3.5 Uncertainty in the volume of mixture 1 ($V_{\rm m1}$, result of dilution 1), used in creating the second dilution dilution

The analysis follows that given in 3.3.4.

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{107}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}} + V_{\text{m1}}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}}} \tag{108}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{1}{V_{d2}} \tag{109}$$

So Uncertainty in the volume of mixture 1 (
$$V_{m1}$$
, result of dilution 1), used in creating the second tion

analysis follows that given in 3.3.4.

$$R = R_1 R_2$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{d2} + V_{m1}} \approx \frac{V_{m1}}{V_{d2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{m1}} = R_1 \frac{1}{V_{d2}}$$

$$= \left(V_D \frac{A_U}{A_S}\right) \left(\frac{R_1}{V_{d2}}\right)$$

$$= 1.05 \times 10^{-4} \text{ μ/μ} \text{ uncertainty in volume of the first mixture that goes into the second dilution is 8,66 μl, and the resulting entainty in unknown volume is:$$

The uncertainty in volume of the first mixture that goes into the second dilution is 8,66 µl, and the resulting uncertainty in unknown volume is:

$$U(V_{0.5})_{V_{m1}} = U(V_{m1})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{m1}} = (8,66 \,\mu\text{I})(1,05 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 9,09 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}$$
(111)

3.3.6 Uncertainty in volume of diluent used in creating the first dilution

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}1}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d}1}} \tag{112}$$

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{113}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d1}} = R_2 \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial V_{d1}} \tag{114}$$

ISO/TR 16153:2004(E)

$$R_1 = \frac{V_S}{V_S + V_{d1}} \approx \frac{V_S}{V_{d1}} \tag{115}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d1}} = -R_2 \frac{V_{S}}{\left(V_{d1}\right)^2} \tag{116}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial V_{\rm d1}} = \frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\rm d1}}$$

$$= \left(V_{\rm D} \frac{A_{\rm U}}{A_{\rm S}}\right) \left[\frac{-R_2 \, V_{\rm S}}{(V_{\rm d1})^2}\right]$$

$$= \left(V_{\rm D} \frac{A_{\rm U}}{A_{\rm S}}\right) \left[\frac{V_{\rm m1} \, V_{\rm S}}{V_{\rm d2} \, (V_{\rm d1})^2}\right]$$

$$= -5,28 \times 10^{-7} \, \mu |/\mu|$$
The standard uncertainty in volume delivered by a 1 000 ml Class A volumetric flass is
$$U(V_{\rm d1}) = 400 \, \mu |/\sqrt{3} = 231 \, \mu| \qquad (118)$$
and the uncertainty in unknown volume is
$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{\rm d1}} = U(V_{\rm d1}) \frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial V_{\rm d1}} = (231 \, \mu |) \left(5,28 \times 10^{-7} \, \mu |/\mu|\right) = 1.22 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu| \qquad (119)$$
3.3.7 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent used in the second dilution
This analysis follows that given in 3.3.6:

$$U(V_{d1}) = 400 \,\mu\text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 231 \,\mu\text{I}$$
 (118)

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{d1}} = U(V_{d1}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d1}} = (231 \text{ }\mu\text{I})(5,28 \times 10^{-7} \text{ }\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 122 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }\mu\text{I}$$
(119)

This analysis follows that given in 3.3.6:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d2}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\mathsf{d2}}} \tag{120}$$

$$R = R_1 R_2 \tag{121}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{d2}} \tag{122}$$

3.7 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent used in the second dilution is analysis follows that given in 3.3.6:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{U}}}{\partial V_{\text{d2}}} = \frac{\partial V_{\text{U}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\text{d2}}} \qquad (120)$$

$$R = R_1 R_2 \qquad (121)$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{\text{d2}}} = R_1 \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial V_{\text{d2}}} \qquad V_{\text{m1}}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{m1}} + V_{\text{d2}}} \approx \frac{V_{\text{m1}}}{V_{\text{d2}}} \qquad (123)$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}} = -R_1 \frac{V_{m1}}{\left(V_{d2}\right)^2} \tag{124}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d2}} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial V_{d2}}$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{S}}\right) \left[\frac{-R_{1}V_{m1}}{(V_{d2})^{2}}\right]$$

$$= \left(V_{D} \frac{A_{U}}{A_{S}}\right) \left[\frac{V_{m1}V_{S}}{V_{d1}(V_{d2})^{2}}\right]$$

$$= -5,28 \times 10^{-6} \mu I/\mu I$$
(125)

The standard uncertainty in volume delivered by a 100 ml Class A volumetric pipette is

$$U(V_{d2}) = 80 \,\mu\text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 46.2 \,\mu\text{I}$$
 (126)

and the uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{d2}} = U(V_{d2})\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{d2}} = (46,2 \,\mu\text{I})(5,28 \times 10^{-6} \,\mu\text{I}/\mu\text{I}) = 2,44 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{I}$$
(127)

3.3.8 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent used in the unknowns

The standard uncertainty in volume delivered by a 100 ml Class A volumetric pipette is
$$U(V_{\rm d2}) = 80 \, \mu \text{I} / \sqrt{3} = 46.2 \, \mu \text{I} \tag{126}$$
 and the uncertainty in unknown volume is
$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{\rm d2}} = U(V_{\rm d2}) \frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial V_{\rm d2}} = \left(46.2 \, \mu \text{I}\right) \left(5.28 \times 10^{-6} \, \mu \text{I} / \mu \text{I}\right) = 2.44 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \text{I} \tag{127}$$
 3.3.8 Uncertainty in the volume of diluent used in the unknowns
$$\frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial V_{\rm D}} = \frac{A_{\rm U}}{A_{\rm S}} R \tag{128}$$

$$= 1.00 \times 10^{-4} \, \mu \text{I} / \mu \text{I}$$
 The standard uncertainty in volume delivered by a 6 ml Class A volumetric pipette is

$$U(V_{\rm D}) = 15 \,\mu \text{I}/\sqrt{3} = 8,66 \,\mu \text{I}$$
 (129)

and the uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{V_{D}} = U(V_{D}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial V_{D}} (8,66 \,\mu\text{l}) (1,00 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{l/}\mu\text{l}) = 8,66 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{l}$$
(130)

3.3.9 Uncertainty in temperature of standard versus unknowns

If the unknown samples are at a different temperature than that at which the standard was when it was read in the photometer, then the temperature dependence of the absorptivity of the chromophore will cause an error in the results (the temperature coefficient of absorptivity, K_T , for this chromophore in this diluent is 0,05 % (C). The method specifies that the temperature of the unknowns and control shall be the same within 0.2 °C. The sensitivity of results to this temperature difference is given by the partial derivative of $V_{\rm U}$ with respect to the temperature of the unknown, assuming that the temperature of the standard is by definition correct:

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}} \frac{\partial A_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} \tag{131}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{U}}(T) = A_{\mathsf{U}}\left[1 - K_{T}\left(T - T_{\mathsf{S}}\right)\right] \tag{132}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{U}}}{\partial T} = \left(V_{\mathsf{D}} \frac{R}{A_{\mathsf{S}}}\right) \left(A_{\mathsf{U}} K_{T}\right)$$

$$= 2,50 \times 10^{-4} \; \mu \mathsf{I}/^{\circ} \mathsf{C}$$
(133)

The uncertainty in temperature arises from two measurements, one of the standard and one of the unknown. Each is uncertain by 0,2 °C. The uncertainty in the difference will then be the $\sqrt{2}$ times 0,2 °C or 0,28 °C.

Divide by $\sqrt{3}$ to obtain the standard uncertainty of the rectangular distribution. The resulting uncertainty in unknown volume is:

$$U(V_{0,5})_T = U(T)\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial T} = (0,28/\sqrt{3} \text{ °C})(2,50\times10^{-4} \text{ µI/°C}) = 4,04\times10^{-5} \text{ µI}$$

3.3.10 Uncertainty due to incomplete mixing of standard

If the standard is incompletely mixed, then its measured absorbance at λ_1 will be in error, leading to an error in the calculation of V_U . The parameter M_S is defined as the fractional error in the reading of the standard's

absorbance:
$$M_{S} = \frac{A_{S}(O) - A_{S}(I)}{A_{S}(I)}$$

$$A_{S}(O) = A_{S}(I)(M_{S} + 1)$$
 where $A_{S}(I)$ is the absorbance of a perfectly mixed standard, and $A_{S1}(O)$ is the observed absorbance.

Using this definition,

The
$$A_{\rm S}(I)$$
 is the absorbance of a perfectly mixed standard, and $A_{\rm S1}(O)$ is the observed absorbance. By this definition,
$$\frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial M_{\rm S}} = \frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial A_{\rm S}} \frac{\partial A_{\rm S}}{\partial M_{\rm S}}$$

$$= -\left(\frac{V_{\rm D}RA_{\rm U}}{A_{\rm S}^2}\right) \frac{\partial A_{\rm S}}{\partial M_{\rm S}}$$

$$= -\left(\frac{V_{\rm D}RA_{\rm U}}{A_{\rm S}^2}\right) A_{\rm S}(I)$$

$$= -(1,054 \,\mu\text{l/abs})(0.474 \,\text{abs})$$

$$= -0,500 \,\mu\text{l}$$

The mixing process, 10 inversions, assures an uncertainty of less than 0,1 % in the absorbance of the standard, giving an uncertainty in the parameter M_S of:

$$U(M_S) = 0.001 \text{ abs/abs}$$
 (137)

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{M_{S}} = U(M_{S}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial M_{S}} = (0,001 \text{ abs/abs})(0,500 \text{ µI}) = 5,00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µI}$$
(138)

3.3.11 Uncertainty in unknown volume V_{ij} due to incomplete mixing of unknowns

If the unknowns are incompletely mixed, then their measured absorbance at λ_1 will be in error, leading to an error in the calculation of V_U . Following the explanation given in 3.3.10,

$$M_{\mathsf{U}} = \frac{A_{\mathsf{U}}(O) - A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)}{A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)}$$

$$A_{\mathsf{U}}(O) = A_{\mathsf{U}}(I)(M_{\mathsf{U}} + 1)$$
(139)

Using this definition,

g this definition,
$$\frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial M_{\rm U}} = \frac{\partial V_{\rm U}}{\partial A_{\rm U}} \frac{\partial A_{\rm U}}{\partial M_{\rm U}} \\ = \left(\frac{V_{\rm D}R}{A_{\rm S}} \mu \text{I/abs}\right) \frac{\partial A_{\rm U}}{\partial M_{\rm U}} \\ = \left(\frac{V_{\rm D}R}{A_{\rm S}}\right) A_{\rm U}(I) \\ = (2,50 \ \mu \text{I/abs})(0,20 \ \text{abs}) \\ = 0,50 \ \mu \text{I}$$
In the mixing procedure of 10 inversions gives an uncertainty in absorbance of less than 0,1 %:

Again the mixing procedure of 10 inversions gives an uncertainty in absorbance of less than 0,1 %:

$$U(M_{\mathsf{U}}) = 0.001 \, \mathrm{abs/abs} \tag{141}$$

The corresponding uncertainty in unknown volume is

$$U(V_{0,5})_{M_{U}} = U(M_{U}) \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial M_{U}} = (0,001 \text{ abs/abs})(0,50 \text{ µI}) = 5,00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ µI}$$
(142)

3.3.12 Uncertainty due to pH dependence of the chromophore used in the stock solution

If the unknown samples have a different pH than the standard, then a pH dependence of the absorptivity of the chromophore will cause an error in the results. The sensitivity of results to this pH difference is given by the partial derivative of with respect to the pH of the unknown, assuming that the pH of the standard is by definition correct.

$$\frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial (pH)} = \frac{\partial V_{U}}{\partial A_{U}} \frac{\partial A_{U}}{\partial (pH)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{D}R}{A_{S}}\right) \frac{\partial A_{U}}{\partial (pH)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V_{D}R}{A_{S}}\right) \left[0,01 \text{ abs/(abs} \cdot pH)\right] \left(0,2 \text{ abs}\right)$$

$$= (2,50 \,\mu\text{l/abs}) \left[0,01 \text{ abs/(abs} \cdot pH)\right] \left(0,2 \text{ abs}\right)$$

$$= 5,00 \times 10^{-3} \,\mu\text{l/pH}$$
(143)

The pH dependence of the extinction coefficient of the chromophore (in this buffer at pH 6) is 1 % per pH unit, and the buffer is stable within \pm 0,1 pH units.