

NFPA® 705

Recommended Practice for a Field Flame Test for Textiles and Films

2009 Edition



NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7471
An International Codes and Standards Organization

IMPORTANT NOTICES AND DISCLAIMERS CONCERNING NFPA DOCUMENTS

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY CONCERNING THE USE OF NFPA DOCUMENTS

NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a consensus standards development process approved by the American National Standards Institute. This process brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve consensus on fire and other safety issues. While the NFPA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its codes and standards.

The NFPA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document. The NFPA also makes no guaranty or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein.

In issuing and making this document available, the NFPA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity. Nor is the NFPA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

The NFPA has no power, nor does it undertake, to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. Nor does the NFPA list, certify, test or inspect products, designs, or installations for compliance with this document. Any certification or other statement of compliance with the requirements of this document shall not be attributable to the NFPA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

ADDITIONAL NOTICES AND DISCLAIMERS

Updating of NFPA Documents

Users of NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of Tentative Interim Amendments. An official NFPA document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any Tentative Interim Amendments and any Errata then in effect. In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of Tentative Interim Amendments or corrected through the issuance of Errata, consult appropriate NFPA publications such as the National Fire Codes® Subscription Service, visit the NFPA website at www.nfpa.org, or contact the NFPA at the address listed below.

Interpretations of NFPA Documents

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with Section 6 of the Regulations Governing Committee Projects shall not be considered the official position of NFPA or any of its Committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a Formal Interpretation.

Patents

The NFPA does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items which are mentioned in or are the subject of NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides, and the NFPA disclaims liability for the infringement of any patent resulting from the use of or reliance on these documents. Users of these documents are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

NFPA adheres to applicable policies of the American National Standards Institute with respect to patents. For further information contact the NFPA at the address listed below.

Law and Regulations

Users of these documents should consult applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. NFPA does not, by the publication of its codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

This document is copyrighted by the NFPA. It is made available for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of safe practices and methods. By making this document available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, the NFPA does not waive any rights in copyright to this document.

Use of NFPA documents for regulatory purposes should be accomplished through adoption by reference. The term “adoption by reference” means the citing of title, edition, and publishing information only. Any deletions, additions, and changes desired by the adopting authority should be noted separately in the adopting instrument. In order to assist NFPA in following the uses made of its documents, adopting authorities are requested to notify the NFPA (Attention: Secretary, Standards Council) in writing of such use. For technical assistance and questions concerning adoption of NFPA documents, contact NFPA at the address below.

For Further Information

All questions or other communications relating to NFPA codes, standards, recommended practices, and guides and all requests for information on NFPA procedures governing its codes and standards development process, including information on the procedures for requesting Formal Interpretations, for proposing Tentative Interim Amendments, and for proposing revisions to NFPA documents during regular revision cycles, should be sent to NFPA headquarters, addressed to the attention of the Secretary, Standards Council, NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, P.O. Box 9101, Quincy, MA 02269-9101.

For more information about NFPA, visit the NFPA website at www.nfpa.org.

Copyright © 2008 National Fire Protection Association®. All Rights Reserved.

NFPA® 705

Recommended Practice for a Field Flame Test for Textiles and Films

2009 Edition

This edition of NFPA 705, *Recommended Practice for a Field Flame Test for Textiles and Films*, was prepared by the Technical Committee on Fire Tests. It was issued by the Standards Council on May 30, 2008, with an effective date of July 18, 2008, and supersedes all previous editions.

This edition of NFPA 705 was approved as an American National Standard on July 18, 2008.

Origin and Development of NFPA 705

The 1993 edition of NFPA 705 was a complete revision of what was Chapter 10, Field Test: Match Flame Test, in the 1989 edition of NFPA 701, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Flame-Resistant Textiles and Films*. Due to the lack of data demonstrating a relationship between the field match test and NFPA 701 small- or large-scale testing, the Committee determined it would be appropriate to create this document so as not to perpetuate any application of a correlation. The field match test does not incorporate the more rigorous laboratory testing methods incorporated into the small- and large-scale testing such as conditioning of specimen, reproducibility, and repeatability. The revisions to NFPA 705 incorporated an increase in safety precautions during the testing procedure, type of ignition source, and removal of sample prior to testing.

The 1997 edition of NFPA 705 was a reconfirmation of the earlier edition.

For the 2003 edition, the chapter layout of NFPA 705 was reorganized to meet the *Manual of Style for NFPA Technical Committee Documents*.

The 2009 edition adds references to ASTM E 84 and NFPA 265 for testing interior finish materials.

Technical Committee on Fire Tests

William E. Fitch, Chair
Phyrefish Enterprises, Inc., FL [SE]

Farid Alfawakhiri, American Iron and Steel Institute, IL [M]
Barry L. Badders, Jr., Southwest Research Institute, TX [RT]
Jesse J. Beitel, Hughes Associates, Inc., MD [SE]
April L. Berkol, Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., NY [U]
Rep. American Hotel & Lodging Association
Robert G. Bill, Jr., FM Global, MA [I]
John A. Blair, The DuPont Company, DE [M]
Rep. Society of the Plastics Industry, Inc.
Gordon H. Damant, Inter-City Testing & Consulting Corporation of California, CA [SE]
Thomas W. Fritz, Armstrong World Industries, Inc., PA [M]
Gordon E. Hartzell, Hartzell Consulting, Inc., TX [SE]
Marcelo M. Hirschler, GBH International, CA [SE]
William E. Koffel, Koffel Associates, Inc., MD [SE]

James R. Lawson, U.S. National Institute of Standards & Technology, MD [RT]
Rodney A. McPhee, Canadian Wood Council, Canada [M]
Frederick W. Mowrer, University of Maryland, MD [SE]
Deggary N. Priest, Intertek Testing Services NA, Inc., TX [RT]
David T. Sheppard, U.S. Department of Justice, MD [RT]
Dwayne E. Sloan, Underwriters Laboratories Inc., NC [RT]
Kuma Sumathipala, American Forest & Paper Association, DC [M]
Rep. American Forest & Paper Association
T. Hugh Talley, Hugh Talley Company, TN [M]
Rep. Upholstered Furniture Action Council
Rick Thornberry, The Code Consortium, Inc., CA [SE]
William A. Webb, Schirmer Engineering Corporation, IL [I]
Robert A. Wessel, Gypsum Association, DC [M]

Alternates

Scott W. Adams, Park City Fire Service District, UT [E]
(Voting Alt. to IFMA Rep.)
Robert M. Berhinig, Underwriters Laboratories Inc., IL [RT]
(Alt. to D. E. Sloan)
Richard J. Davis, FM Global, MA [I]
(Alt. to R. G. Bill, Jr.)
Sam W. Francis, American Forest & Paper Association, PA [M]
(Alt. to K. Sumathipala)
Richard G. Gann, Ph.D., U.S. National Institute of Standards & Technology, MD [RT]
(Alt. to J. R. Lawson)
Paul A. Hough, Armstrong World Industries, Inc., PA [M]
(Alt. to T. W. Fritz)

Marc L. Janssens, Southwest Research Institute, TX [RT]
(Alt. to B. L. Badders, Jr.)
James K. Lathrop, Koffel Associates, Inc., CT [SE]
(Alt. to W. E. Koffel)
James A. Milke, University of Maryland, MD [SE]
(Alt. to F. W. Mowrer)
Arthur J. Parker, Hughes Associates, Inc., MD [SE]
(Alt. to J. J. Beitel)
Ineke Van Zeeland, Canadian Wood Council, Canada [M]
(Alt. to R. A. McPhee)
Robert J. Wills, American Iron and Steel Institute, AL [M]
(Alt. to F. Alfawakhiri)
Joe Ziolkowski, American Furniture Manufacturers Association, NC [M]
(Alt. to T. H. Talley)

Nonvoting

Robert H. Barker, American Fiber Manufacturers Association, VA [M]

Rohit Khanna, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, MD [C]

Gregory E. Harrington, NFPA Staff Liaison

This list represents the membership at the time the Committee was balloted on the final text of this edition. Since that time, changes in the membership may have occurred. A key to classifications is found at the back of the document.

NOTE: Membership on a committee shall not in and of itself constitute an endorsement of the Association or any document developed by the committee on which the member serves.

Committee Scope: This Committee shall have primary responsibility for documents on fire testing procedures, for reviewing existing fire test standards and recommending appropriate action to NFPA, for recommending the application of and advising on the interpretation of acceptable test standards for fire problems of concern to NFPA technical committees and members, and for acting in a liaison capacity between NFPA and the committees of other organizations writing fire test standards. This Committee does not cover fire tests that are used to evaluate extinguishing agents, devices, or systems.

Contents

Chapter 1 Administration	705-4	3.3 General Definitions	705-5
1.1 Scope	705-4	Chapter 4 Procedure	705-5
1.2 Purpose	705-4	4.1 Materials	705-5
1.3 Application	705-4	4.2 Open Flame	705-5
Chapter 2 Referenced Publications	705-4	4.3 Method	705-5
2.1 General	705-4	4.4 Requirements	705-5
2.2 NFPA Publications	705-5	Chapter 5 Summary	705-5
2.3 Other Publications	705-5	5.1 Limitations	705-5
2.4 References for Extracts in Recommendations Sections. (Reserved)	705-5	5.2 Precautions	705-5
Chapter 3 Definitions	705-5	Annex A Explanatory Material	705-6
3.1 General	705-5	Annex B Informational References	705-6
3.2 NFPA Official Definitions	705-5	Index	705-7

NFPA 705

Recommended Practice for a Field Flame Test for Textiles and Films

2009 Edition

IMPORTANT NOTE: This NFPA document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning NFPA Documents." They can also be obtained on request from NFPA or viewed at www.nfpa.org/disclaimers.

NOTICE: An asterisk (*) following the number or letter designating a paragraph indicates that explanatory material on the paragraph can be found in Annex A.

Changes other than editorial are indicated by a vertical rule beside the paragraph, table, or figure in which the change occurred. These rules are included as an aid to the user in identifying changes from the previous edition. Where one or more complete paragraphs have been deleted, the deletion is indicated by a bullet (•) between the paragraphs that remain.

Information on referenced publications can be found in Chapter 2 and Annex B.

Chapter 1 Administration

1.1 Scope.

1.1.1 This recommended practice provides guidance to enforcement officials for the field application of an open flame to textiles and films that have been in use in the field or for which reliable laboratory data are not available.

1.1.2 There is no known correlation between this recommended practice and NFPA 701, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Flame Propagation of Textiles and Films*, or full-scale fire behavior.

1.2 Purpose.

1.2.1 The purpose of this recommended practice is to provide authorities having jurisdiction with a field means of determining the tendency of textiles and films to sustain burning subsequent to the application of a relatively small open flame.

1.2.2 The methods described herein and the results do not correlate with any known test method, and factors relating to reproducibility and correlation have not been determined; therefore, they should not be relied upon when more definitive test data are available.

1.3 Application.

1.3.1 These recommendations apply to materials used in the interior of buildings, for protective outdoor coverings such as tarpaulins and tents, and for plastic films (with or without reinforcing or backing) used for decorative or other purposes inside buildings or as temporary or permanent enclosures for buildings under construction.

1.3.1.1* The field test method can be useful to regulatory officials as an indicator of whether a material being used or installed burns very easily or can be flame resistant as indicated by the following:

- (1) Cessation of burning when the igniting flame is removed
- (2) Failure to burn at all
- (3) Continuing to burn nonaggressively after the igniting flame is removed

1.3.1.2 The field test method has utility only when the authority having jurisdiction has no reliable data and, therefore, is forced to rely solely on the field test findings.

1.3.1.3 There are only two types of materials for which the field test method can be deemed to provide foolproof and totally adequate results: those made entirely of noncombustible inorganic material and those that ignite and burn readily on exposure to a small flame. For example, with only limited experience, an inspector will have no difficulty in identifying an all-mineral fiber fabric by employing a small open flame, and no other procedure is necessary. The only effect a small fire exposure has on a mineral fiber fabric is to burn off the surface coloring, if any, leaving the threads themselves virtually undamaged. This result is not obtained with any other type of decorative fabric and, therefore, is readily recognized. At the other extreme, if a material ignites and burns readily from the application of a small open flame from a source such as a kitchen match, showing no semblance of flame resistance, no other procedure is necessary, since the material obviously is not acceptable.

1.3.1.4 Between these two extremes, the field test method has a limited and varying degree of reliability. Within this large group, which comprises the great majority of materials the enforcement official is likely to encounter in the field, the most reliable results are obtained in the testing of cellulose-based materials (cotton, rayon, and paper) that are flame retardant treated with the common inorganic salt formulations. These materials retain their shape reasonably during testing, and the results are not greatly affected by differences in sample size or severity of fire exposure. However, the least-reliable results are obtained with chemically treated fabrics of synthetic fibers or flexible plastic films and laminates. These materials are subject to a variety of physical changes when exposed to fire, such as shrinking, curling, melting, elongating, and similar distortions, making the examination of small samples quite difficult and the results ambiguous. Furthermore, some of these thermoplastic materials are apt to appear flame resistant with small flame exposures but ignite and burn fiercely with longer exposures to larger ignition sources.

1.3.2 Materials applied to surfaces of buildings or backing materials as interior finishes in buildings should be tested and classified in accordance with ASTM E 84, *Standard Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials*, or NFPA 286, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Contribution of Wall and Ceiling Interior Finish to Room Fire Growth*. In the case of textile wall coverings, the use of NFPA 265, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Room Fire Growth Contribution of Textile Coverings on Full Height Panels and Walls*, is also appropriate.

Chapter 2 Referenced Publications

2.1 General. The documents or portions thereof listed in this chapter are referenced within this recommended practice and should be considered part of the recommendations of this document.



2.2 NFPA Publications. National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7471.

NFPA 265, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Room Fire Growth Contribution of Textile Coverings on Full Height Panels and Walls*, 2007 edition.

NFPA 286, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Contribution of Wall and Ceiling Interior Finish to Room Fire Growth*, 2006 edition.

NFPA 701, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Flame Propagation of Textiles and Films*, 2004 edition.

2.3 Other Publications.

2.3.1 ASTM Publications. ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, P.O. Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959.

ASTM E 84, *Standard Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials*, 2008

2.3.2 Other Publications. Merriam-Webster's *Collegiate Dictionary*, 11th edition, Merriam-Webster, Inc., Springfield, MA, 2003.

2.4 References for Extracts in Recommendations Sections. (Reserved)

Chapter 3 Definitions

3.1 General. The definitions contained in this chapter apply to the terms used in this recommended practice. Where terms are not defined in this chapter or within another chapter, they should be defined using their ordinarily accepted meanings within the context in which they are used. *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, 11th edition, is the source for the ordinarily accepted meaning.

3.2 NFPA Official Definitions.

3.2.1* Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ). An organization, office, or individual responsible for enforcing the requirements of a code or standard, or for approving equipment, materials, an installation, or a procedure.

3.2.2 Recommended Practice. A document that is similar in content and structure to a code or standard but that contains only nonmandatory provisions using the word "should" to indicate recommendations in the body of the text.

3.2.3 Should. Indicates a recommendation or that which is advised but not required.

3.2.4 Standard. A document, the main text of which contains only mandatory provisions using the word "shall" to indicate requirements and which is in a form generally suitable for mandatory reference by another standard or code or for adoption into law. Nonmandatory provisions shall be located in an appendix or annex, footnote, or fine-print note and are not to be considered a part of the requirements of a standard.

3.3 General Definitions.

3.3.1 Film. A flat section of a thermoplastic resin, a regenerated cellulose derivative, or other material that is extremely thin in comparison to its length and breadth and has a nominal maximum thickness of 0.25 mm (0.01 in.).

3.3.2 Kitchen Match. A piece of wood with a combustible mixture at its tip that bursts into flame through friction, with an approximate length of 61.9 mm (2⁷/₁₆ in.) and an approximate weight of 29 g (1 oz) per hundred.

3.3.3 Textile. A material made of natural or man-made fibers and used for the manufacture of items such as curtains, clothing, and furniture fittings.

Chapter 4 Procedure

4.1* Materials.

4.1.1 Specimens should be samples removed from the existing material.

4.1.2 Specimens should be dry and should be a minimum of 12.7 mm × 101.6 mm (½ in. × 4 in.).

4.2 Open Flame. The fire exposure should be from a common wood kitchen match or source with equivalent flame properties.

4.2.1 The flame should be applied for 12 seconds.

4.3* Method.

4.3.1 The test should be performed in a draft-free and safe location free of other combustibles.

4.3.2 The sample should be suspended (preferably by means of a spring clip, tongs, or similar device) with the long axis vertical, the flame supplied to the center of the bottom edge, and the bottom edge 12.7 mm (½ in.) above the bottom of the flame.

4.3.3 After 12 seconds of exposure, the match is to be removed gently away from the sample.

4.4 Requirements. During the exposure, flaming should not spread over the complete length of the sample or, in the case of larger samples, in excess of 101.6 mm (4 in.) from the bottom of the sample.

4.4.1 There should be not more than 2 seconds of afterflame.

4.4.2 Materials that break or drip flaming particles should be rejected if the materials continue to burn after they reach the floor.

Chapter 5 Summary

5.1 Limitations. The deficiencies and limitations of the field test method can lead to misleading or erroneous results, and the error can be in both directions. It is quite possible to have a too-small sample show several seconds of afterflaming, causing the material to be rejected. It is equally possible for improper or inadequate field procedures to incorrectly indicate satisfactory flame resistance. This can result in dangerous errors.

5.2 Precautions. Field procedures are useful, but they must be used with good judgment and their limitations should be recognized. Field tests should not be relied on as the sole means for ensuring adequate flame resistance of decorative materials. They are, however, useful in augmenting a comprehensive regulatory program.

Annex A Explanatory Material

Annex A is not a part of the recommendations of this NFPA document but is included for informational purposes only. This annex contains explanatory material, numbered to correspond with the applicable text paragraphs.

A.1.3.1.1 By far, the greatest benefit can be derived from the field test method when the inspector has had the opportunity to practice and experiment on a variety of decorative materials and particularly to make comparisons between the results of laboratory tests performed in accordance with NFPA 701, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Flame Propagation of Textiles and Films*, and the less-precise field test method. Experience is the best teacher, and it is strongly recommended that inspectors who may be involved in this activity familiarize themselves with a wide variety of treated and inherently flame-resistant fabrics and the typical behavior of those fabrics under a variety of test conditions. With this background, the inspector possesses a greater capability for properly interpreting field test results.

A.3.2.1 Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ). The phrase “authority having jurisdiction,” or its acronym AHJ, is used in NFPA documents in a broad manner, since jurisdictions and approval agencies vary, as do their responsibilities. Where public safety is primary, the authority having jurisdiction may be a federal, state, local, or other regional department or individual such as a fire chief; fire marshal; chief of a fire prevention bureau, labor department, or health department; building official; electrical inspector; or others having statutory authority. For insurance purposes, an insurance inspection department, rating bureau, or other insurance company representative may be the authority having jurisdiction. In many circumstances, the property owner or his or her designated agent assumes the role of the authority having jurisdiction; at government installations, the commanding officer or departmental official may be the authority having jurisdiction.

A.4.1 A difficult and controversial question concerns the minimum number of specimens that should be tested. The answer can be dictated by a number of factors. A good general rule is the more specimens, the better; but, in all cases, the inspector should exercise good judgment. The variety of circumstances that can be encountered can be illustrated by some specific examples:

- (1) A dance in a school gymnasium, decorated by students with a profusion of paper banners, crepe paper streamers, figures made of pieces of tissue paper stuffed in chicken-wire molds, hay and straw, painted fabrics, dry palm fronds, and similar products, all alleged to be flame resistant: In this situation, the inspector has neither reason nor excuse to be inhibited in taking samples for tests. The materials are inexpensive and are unlikely to be reused. Taking samples for tests will cause little if any change to the decorative effect.
- (2) A large assembly tent made of supposedly treated canvas but with no identifying marks and no confirming evi-

dence of such treatment: The life hazard is acute, tent canvas can readily be patched, and, therefore, the situation warrants nothing less than sufficient samples from all sections of canvas for the inspector to be satisfied that the quality and uniformity of the treatment are acceptable.

- (3) A nightclub with very expensive draperies known to be adequately flame retardant-treated when installed two years previously: The only way to be certain that the quality of flame resistance remains acceptable is to take a sample, but in the interest of maintaining good public relations, the inspector should be diplomatic and persuasive. Usually, a place can be found where a small but adequate sample can be extracted without causing any visible damage. Often this is the most the inspector can expect to get.

A.4.3 There can be complications of a technical nature. Decorative fabrics sometimes are installed overhead, in or near a horizontal position. Some plastic films or fabrics woven of thermoplastic synthetic fibers will successfully resist continued burning in the normal vertical position of test, but will exhibit continued burning if exposed in a horizontal position. Fabrics or films installed horizontally may be a serious threat to safety in a fire situation, and, therefore, the inspector is justified in testing the material in a horizontal position.

Asomewhat similar problem can exist with some of the new and increasingly popular decorative fabrics with one or more types of fibers in the threads along the length (warp) and different fibers in the threads along the width (fill). This can result in a different burning behavior in the two directions of the fabric. In some fabrics where a flame-retardant treatment has been applied, tests for flame resistance in one direction may be acceptable, but the fabric could show continued burning in the other direction. Where visual examination of the fabric indicates this condition might exist, the inspector should test samples cut with the long dimension paralleling both the length and width of the fabric.

Annex B Informational References

B.1 Referenced Publications. The documents or portions thereof listed in this annex are referenced within the informational sections of this recommended practice and are not part of the recommendations of this document unless also listed in Chapter 2 for other reasons.

B.1.1 NFPA Publications. National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7471.

NFPA 701, *Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Flame Propagation of Textiles and Films*, 2004 edition.

B.1.2 Other Publications. (Reserved)

B.2 Informational References. (Reserved)

B.3 References for Extracts in Informational Sections. (Reserved)



Index

Copyright © 2008 National Fire Protection Association. All Rights Reserved.

The copyright in this index is separate and distinct from the copyright in the document that it indexes. The licensing provisions set forth for the document are not applicable to this index. This index may not be reproduced in whole or in part by any means without the express written permission of NFPA.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>-A-</p> <p>Application of practice 1.3, A.1.3.1.1</p> <p>Authority having jurisdiction (definition) 3.2.1</p> <p>-B-</p> <p>Benefits of field test method 1.3.1.1, A.1.3.1.1</p> <p>-C-</p> <p>Cellulose-based materials 1.3.1.4</p> <p>Chemically treated fabrics 1.3.1.4</p> <p>-D-</p> <p>Decorative fabrics 1.3.1.3, 5.2, A.4.3</p> <p>Definitions Chap. 3</p> <p>-F-</p> <p>Film 1.3.1.4, A.4.3</p> <p>Definition 3.3.1</p> <p>-H-</p> <p>Horizontal installation of fabric, effect of A.4.3</p> <p>-I-</p> <p>Inorganic salt formulations, treatment with 1.3.1.4</p> <p>-K-</p> <p>Kitchen matches 1.3.1.3, 4.2</p> <p>Definition 3.3.2</p> <p>-L-</p> <p>Laminates 1.3.1.4</p> <p>Limitations of method 1.3.1.4, 5.1</p> | <p>-M-</p> <p>Matches, kitchen <i>see</i> Kitchen matches</p> <p>Materials 1.3.1.3, 1.3.2, 4.1, A.4.1</p> <p>-O-</p> <p>Open flame 1.3.1.3, 4.2</p> <p>-P-</p> <p>Precautions 5.2</p> <p>Procedure Chap. 4</p> <p>Purpose 1.2</p> <p>-R-</p> <p>Recommended practice (definition) 3.2.2</p> <p>References Chap. 2, Annex B</p> <p>Reliability of test results 1.3.1.3, 1.3.1.4, 5.1, 5.2</p> <p>Requirements 4.4</p> <p>-S-</p> <p>Samples <i>see</i> Specimens</p> <p>Scope of practice 1.1</p> <p>Should (definition) 3.2.3</p> <p>Specimens 4.1, A.4.1</p> <p>Number to be tested A.4.1</p> <p>Size 4.1.2, 5.1</p> <p>Standard (definition) 3.2.4</p> <p>Synthetic fibers 1.3.1.4, A.4.3</p> <p>-T-</p> <p>Technical complications A.4.3</p> <p>Test method 4.3, A.4.3</p> <p>Textile (definition) 3.3.3</p> <p>Thermoplastic materials 1.3.1.4, A.4.3</p> |
|--|---|

Sequence of Events Leading to Issuance of an NFPA Committee Document

Step 1: Call for Proposals

- Proposed new Document or new edition of an existing Document is entered into one of two yearly revision cycles, and a Call for Proposals is published.

Step 2: Report on Proposals (ROP)

- Committee meets to act on Proposals, to develop its own Proposals, and to prepare its Report.
- Committee votes by written ballot on Proposals. If two-thirds approve, Report goes forward. Lacking two-thirds approval, Report returns to Committee.
- Report on Proposals (ROP) is published for public review and comment.

Step 3: Report on Comments (ROC)

- Committee meets to act on Public Comments to develop its own Comments, and to prepare its report.
- Committee votes by written ballot on Comments. If two-thirds approve, Report goes forward. Lacking two-thirds approval, Report returns to Committee.
- Report on Comments (ROC) is published for public review.

Step 4: Technical Report Session

- “*Notices of intent to make a motion*” are filed, are reviewed, and valid motions are certified for presentation at the Technical Report Session. (“Consent Documents” that have no certified motions bypass the Technical Report Session and proceed to the Standards Council for issuance.)
- NFPA membership meets each June at the Annual Meeting Technical Report Session and acts on Technical Committee Reports (ROP and ROC) for Documents with “certified amending motions.”
- Committee(s) vote on any amendments to Report approved at NFPA Annual Membership Meeting.

Step 5: Standards Council Issuance

- Notification of intent to file an appeal to the Standards Council on Association action must be filed within 20 days of the NFPA Annual Membership Meeting.
- Standards Council decides, based on all evidence, whether or not to issue Document or to take other action, including hearing any appeals.

Committee Membership Classifications

The following classifications apply to Technical Committee members and represent their principal interest in the activity of the committee.

- M *Manufacturer:* A representative of a maker or marketer of a product, assembly, or system, or portion thereof, that is affected by the standard.
- U *User:* A representative of an entity that is subject to the provisions of the standard or that voluntarily uses the standard.
- I/M *Installer/Maintainer:* A representative of an entity that is in the business of installing or maintaining a product, assembly, or system affected by the standard.
- L *Labor:* A labor representative or employee concerned with safety in the workplace.
- R/T *Applied Research/Testing Laboratory:* A representative of an independent testing laboratory or independent applied research organization that promulgates and/or enforces standards.
- E *Enforcing Authority:* A representative of an agency or an organization that promulgates and/or enforces standards.
- I *Insurance:* A representative of an insurance company, broker, agent, bureau, or inspection agency.
- C *Consumer:* A person who is, or represents, the ultimate purchaser of a product, system, or service affected by the standard, but who is not included in the *User* classification.
- SE *Special Expert:* A person not representing any of the previous classifications, but who has a special expertise in the scope of the standard or portion thereof.

NOTES;

1. “Standard” connotes code, standard, recommended practice, or guide.
2. A representative includes an employee.
3. While these classifications will be used by the Standards Council to achieve a balance for Technical Committees, the Standards Council may determine that new classifications of members or unique interests need representation in order to foster the best possible committee deliberations on any project. In this connection, the Standards Council may make appointments as it deems appropriate in the public interest, such as the classification of “Utilities” in the National Electrical Code Committee.
4. Representatives of subsidiaries of any group are generally considered to have the same classification as the parent organization.